

1 DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439  
[dbergeson@be-law.com](mailto:dbergeson@be-law.com)  
2 MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373  
[mmorton@be-law.com](mailto:mmorton@be-law.com)  
3 JOHN W. FOWLER, Bar No. 037463  
[jfowler@be-law.com](mailto:jfowler@be-law.com)  
4 BERGESON, LLP  
303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500  
5 San Jose, CA 95110-2712  
Telephone: (408) 291-6200  
6 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

**\*E-FILED - 6/18/08\***

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
8 VERIGY US, INC.

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
11 SAN JOSE DIVISION

12 VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

13 Plaintiff,

**[ ] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

14 vs.

Judge: Honorable Ronald M. Whyte  
Ctrm: 6

15 ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;  
16 WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON  
TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;  
17 and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a  
California Limited Liability Corporation,  
inclusive,

18 Defendants.  
19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Now before the Court is Verigy's Administrative Motion For Leave To File Documents  
2 Under Seal. Upon consideration of the Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents Under  
3 Seal and the supporting declaration of Melinda M. Morton filed therewith, the Court finds there to  
4 be good cause for granting Plaintiff's request to file documents under seal.

5 GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

6 (1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interest that overcomes the  
7 right of public access to the record in the following documents:  
8 a. Portions of Plaintiff's Reply Memorandum of Points and Authorities in  
9 Support of the Application for an Order to Show Cause Re: Contempt  
10 Against Defendants Romi Mayder and Silicon Test Systems, Inc.  
11 b. Exhibits 3, 4, 9, and 10 of the Reply Declaration of Michael W.  
12 Stebbins in Support of the Application.  
13 (2) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;  
14 (3) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality  
15 interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;  
16 (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and  
17 (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Verigy's Motion for Leave to File Documents Under  
19 Seal is GRANTED.

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 Dated: 6/18, 2008



23 Honorable Ronald M. Whyte  
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
25  
26  
27  
28